## RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Jun-22-2006 03:33pm

From-B&D PATENT DEPT.

JUN 2 2 2006-716-2610

T-549 P.001/004 F-913

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0551-0031

U.S. Patent and Tradsmark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

		Appliant No.		40/040 00	20
TRANSMITTAL FORM		Application Number		10/642,860	
		Filing Date		August 18, 2003	
		First Named Inventor		Paul S. White et al.	
		Art Unit		1745	
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filling)		Examiner Name		J Maples	
Total Number of Pages in This Submiss	ion 4	Attorney Docket No	umber	TN-16310	
	ENCLO	SURES (check all tha	t apply)		
Fee Transmittal Form	☐ Drawing(s		,,,,,,	After Al	lowance Communication to TC
Fee Attached	Licensing	-related Papers			Communication to Board eals and Interferences
Amendment / Reply	Petition			Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
After Final		Convert to a al Application	i	_ ``	tary Information
Affidavits/declaration(s)	Power of Change of	Attorney, Revocation of Correspondence Add	ress	Status I	Letter
Extension of Time Request	Terminal	:	Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):		
Express Abandonment Request	l '	Request for Refund  CD, Number of CD(s)			
Information Disclosure Statement	Landscape Table on CD				
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	Remarks				
Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application					
Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR1.52 or 1.53					
SIGI	NATURE OF	APPLICANT, ATTOR	RNEY, OI	RAGENT	
Firm	Black & Decker	Inc.			
Signature	Col	4			
Printed Name	Adan Ayala, Es	q.			
Date	June 22, 2006		Reg. No.	38,373	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING					
I hereby certify that this corresponden Service with sufficient postage as fir Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date	st dass mail i	simile transmitted to the n an envelope address	e USPTO	or deposited commissioned	d with the United States Posts of for Patents, P.O. Box 1450
Signature	1				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Typed or printed name Adan Ava	la, Esq.			Date	June 22, 2006

This coflection of information is required by S7 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is 10 file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary cepending upon the individual caso. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

## RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Serial No. 10/642,860 UTILITY PATENT JUN 2 2 2006

Resp to Off Act mailed on Apr. 3, 2006 B&D No. TN-1631C

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Paul S. WHITE et al.

Serial No.: 10/642,860

Examiner: J. Maples

Filed: August 18, 2003

Group Art Unit: 1745

For: BA

**BATTERY PACK** 

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

## RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

I, Adan Ayala, PTO Reg. No. 38,373, hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark-Office Fax No. (571) 273-8300 on 6-22-06

Adan Ayala

Dear Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action mailed on April 3, 2006.

The Examiner rejected Claims 48-65 under 35 USC § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

First, the Examiner noted that "there is specific description of a cavity in the present specification and the [cavity] is also not depicted in the drawings." This is incorrect.

The fundamental factual inquiry in determining whether the written description requirement has been met is "whether the specification conveys with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, applicant was in possession of the invention as now claimed." MPEP § 2163.02 (citing Vas-Cath, Inc. v. Mahurkar, 935 F.2d 1555, 1563-64, 19